

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
4 AT SEATTLE

5 LVB-OGDEN MARKETING
CORPORATION,

6 Petitioner,

7 v.

8 HENRY DEAN, as trustee of the
9 SHARON GRAHAM BINGHAM
2007 TRUST, and PARK PLACE
MOTORS, LTD.,

10 Respondents.

C17-528 TSZ

MINUTE ORDER

11 LVB-OGDEN MARKETING
12 CORPORATION,

13 Plaintiff

14 v.

15 PATRICK L. MCCOURT, STEPHANIE
J. MCCOURT, DAVID S. BINGHAM,
16 SHARON BINGHAM, FRANCES P.
GRAHAM, CHRISTOPHER G.
BINGHAM, CHERISH BINGHAM
17 a/k/a CHERISH BURGESS, SCOTT F.
BINGHAM, KELLY BINGHAM, and
18 BINGO INVESTMENTS, LLC,

19 Defendants.

C09-4518 (N.D. Ill.)

20 The following Minute Order is made by direction of the Court, the Honorable
21 Thomas S. Zilly, United States District Judge:

22 (1) LVB-Ogden Marketing's ("LVB") Renewed Motion to Compel the SGB
23 2007 Trust (the "Trust") to Produce Documents, docket no. 25, is GRANTED. This is
LVB's second attempt to compel compliance with a subpoena issued for documents

1 pertaining to its efforts to execute a judgment. *See* Decl. of Jonathan J. Faria, docket no.
2 2, Ex. 1 (the “Subpoena”). In granting in part and denying in part LVB’s first request,
3 the Court instructed that “[t]o the extent LVB finds it necessary after it has received the
4 [ordered] documents from the SGB 2007 Trust, LVB may request that the Court Order
5 production of additional documents” Docket no. 19, at 5.¹ Here, LVB requests the
6 Court to compel the Trust to produce unredacted documents for the period from 2009 to
7 present. The Court concludes that this information is discoverable under Federal Rule of
8 Civil Procedure 26(b) and bears on whether the Trust has lost its spendthrift character,
9 whether self-settled transfers have been executed, whether the Trust should be deemed
10 the alter ego of certain debtors, and whether any fraudulent transfers should be unwound.
11 The Trust does not present any valid basis rebutting the presumption favoring “full
12 discovery of any matters arguably related to [the judgment creditor’s] efforts to trace [the
13 judgment debtor’s] assets and otherwise enforce its judgment.” *Sherwin-Williams Co. v.*
14 *Earl Scheib of Cal., Inc.*, No. 12CV2646-JAH(JMA), 2013 WL 12073836, at *4–5 (W.D.
15 Wash. Mar. 4, 2013) (quoting *Credit Lyonnais S.A. v. SGC Intern., Inc.*, 160 F.3d 428,
16 431 (8th Cir. 1998)). Accordingly, the Court hereby ORDERS Henry Dean, as trustee of
17 the Trust, to produce, no later than January 31, 2018, the following non-privileged
18 documents in his possession, custody, or control, for the period from January 1, 2009, to
19 present²:

11 a. All DOCUMENTS relating to any payments made to any
12 DEFENDANTS.

12 b. All DOCUMENTS related to any transfer of any asset from the
13 TRUST to any DEFENDANTS.

14 c. All DOCUMENTS related to any loan made by the TRUST to any
15 DEFENDANTS.

15 d. All DOCUMENTS related to any transfer of any interest in real
16 property to the TRUST by any DEFENDANTS.

16 e. All DOCUMENTS related to any transfer of funds to the TRUST by
17 any DEFENDANTS.

18 f. All DOCUMENTS related to any transfer of personal property
19 (including but not limited to stock, bonds, mutual funds, other securities, art and any
20 other asset) to the TRUST by any DEFENDANTS.

21 ¹ The Court incorporates by reference the discussion in its Order granting LVB’s first motion to compel
22 against the Trust, docket no. 19.

22 ² For consistency, the Court has utilized the same language as the document requests attached to the
23 Subpoena. *See* Decl. of Jonathan J. Faria, docket no. 2, Ex. 1. Accordingly, the Court incorporates the
24 definitions of terms set forth therein. *Id.*

1 g. All DOCUMENTS related to the assignment of any legal claim,
2 cause of action, or chose in action by any of the DEFENDANTS to the TRUST.

3 h. All emails responsive to the Subpoena, including, without limitation,
4 any communications regarding transfers into or out of the Trust. The Court ORDERS
5 Mr. Dean to certify to the Court under penalty of perjury: (i) which email accounts were
6 searched (and that those accounts have been preserved (*i.e.* no emails have been
7 deleted)); (ii) for what date range; and (iii) that all communications regarding transfers
8 into or out of the Trust have been produced. Mr. Dean shall serve and file a sworn
9 Certificate of Compliance no later than January 31, 2018.

10 (2) The Court ORDERS Mr. Dean to, no later than January 31, 2018, remove
11 any redactions to previously produced documents and produce the unredacted documents
12 to LVB. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.2(a).

13 (3) The Court ORDERS Mr. Dean to, no later than January 31, 2018, serve and
14 file a “privilege log” listing all responsive information and documents he is withholding
15 and why he believes that information and those documents are privileged or confidential.

16 (4) The Court DEFERS the Trust’s request to shift its costs of complying with
17 the subpoena under Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(d)(2)(B)(ii). *See* Sharon Graham Bingham 2007
18 Trust’s Opposition to LVB-Ogden Marketing’s Renewed Motion to Compel, docket no.
19 30, at 12–14. To date, the Trust has not fulfilled its obligations under the Subpoena or
20 the Court’s prior Order compelling compliance with the Subpoena. The Court is
21 therefore unable to assess whether any projected costs will be “significant.” Should the
22 Trust fulfill its compliance obligations, it may then petition the Court for compliance
23 expenses under Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(d)(2)(B)(ii). *See Stormans v. Selecky*, No. C07-5374,
2015 WL 224914, at *6–7 (W.D. Wash. Jan. 15, 2015) (outlining the three factor test to
determine whether compliance costs are “significant”). Any decision assessing costs will
also consider the nature and extent of the Trust’s compliance with the Court’s orders to
produce documents responsive to the Subpoena.

 (5) The Clerk is directed to send a copy of this Minute Order to all counsel of
record.

Dated this 28th day of December, 2017.

William M. McCool
Clerk

s/Karen Dews
Deputy Clerk